



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,111	08/25/2003	Richard Iggo	604-691 3622 EXAMINER	
23117	7590 04/28/2004			
	VANDERHYE, PC	MOSHER, MARY		
1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Evaminar Initiated Interview Summers	10/647,111	IGGO ET AL.
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Leftoard Mitchard.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 April 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	*
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a wri	tten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
MARY E. MOSHER PRIMARY EXAMINER		
GROUP 1800/600		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/647,111

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Image file is missing the signed inventor's oath, but fee was paid. LM said it was filed, will send copy to official fax number. Since filing papers identify this case as a CIP of a PCT (no serial number given), MM also requested copy of PCT priority document and GB priority document, for priority claim. . .